1	Thomas Tosdal, SBN 67834	
2	Tosdal Law Firm 777 S. Highway 101, Suite 215	
3	Solana Beach, CA 92075 Telephone: 858-704-4710	
4	tom@tosdallaw.com	
5	Angela Jae Chun, SBN 248571	
6	Law Office of Angela Jae Chun 777 S. Highway 101, Suite 215	
7	Solana Beach, CA 92075 Telephone: 619-719-5757	
	ajc@chun.law	
8	Attorneys for Many PG&E Fire Victims	
9		
10	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13		
14	In Re:	Bankr. Case No. 19-30088-DM
15	PG&E CORPORATION	JOINDER OF MANY PG&E FIRE VICTIMS IN TCC'S MOTION TO
16	and	SUPPLEMENT DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
17	PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY,	
18	Debtors.	Date: April 7, 2020
19		Time: 10:00 a.m.
20		Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court Courtroom 17, 16 th Floor
21		San Francisco, CA 94102
22		
23	1. Joinder In TCC's Motion	
24	The undersigned represents many persons and businesses harmed by the 2017 Atla	
25	and Redwood Valley Fires and the 2018 Camp Fire. All have filed claims with this Court, and all join in the Tort Claimants Committee's motion to supplement the disclosure	
26		
27	statement by letter.	
28		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 6656 Filed: 04/06/20 Entered: 04/06/20 15:52:24 Page 1 of 3

The supplemental disclosure is necessary because the promises made by PG&E to fire victims in its Disclosure Statement are not secure and may well be illusory. PG&E is already angling to make its first cash deposit in December, not August, of this year. PG&E will transfer less than \$6.75 billion in stock to the fire victim trust, and the stock's value will not be protected from early sale by institutional investors or unforeseen market conditions brought on by the coronavirus.

A plan cannot be accepted or rejected unless the holders of claims are provided "adequate information" about the plan. (11 U.S.C. section 1125(b).) "Adequate information" means information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, that would enable a hypothetical claimant to make an informed judgment about the plan. (11 U.S.C. section 1125(a)(1).) A fire victim's informed judgment about PG&E's plan requires disclosure that PG&E's promises are not as represented in the Disclosure Statement.

Given the necessity for adequate information in the Disclosure Statement and the paramount position section 1125 occupies in the Chapter 11 process, there is little, if any, room for harmless error. A materiality standard, focusing on the information needed by a "hypothetical reasonable investor typical of holders of claims or interests of the relevant class,"11 U.S.C. section 1125(a)(1), itself distinguishes the inconsequential from the significant.

In Re Crowthers McCall Pattern, Inc., 120 B.R. 279 (S.D.N.Y. 1990)

In other words, confirmation of PG&E's plan will be open to question should the Court refuse disclosure of the important facts contained in the TCC's letter.

The case law teaches that a disclosure to creditors should include the following:

- [1.] Any financial information, valuations, or *pro forma* projections that would be relevant to creditors 'determinations of whether to accept or reject the plan; and
- [2.] Information relevant to the risks being taken by the creditors and interest holders.